



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/250,466	02/16/1999	ILSE CHUDOBA	RPE-27	2088

7590 07/16/2004

JOHN B HARDAWAY III
HARDAWAY LAW FIRM
P O BOX 10107
FEDERAL STATION
GREENVILLE, SC 296030107

EXAMINER

MORAN, MARJORIE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/250,466	Applicant(s) CHUDOBA ET AL.
	Examiner Marjorie A. Moran	Art Unit 1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(3) J. Hardaway

(2) H.O. Toole

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7/14/04

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed claims and claims 47-49

Identification of prior art discussed: 6AC1N1, CAB1B

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The examiner pointed to possible problems with support for proposed claim limitations, but agreed that the 102 rejections of record would not apply to the proposed claims. No agreement on possible obviousness (103-type) rejections was reached. Other limitations which may be free of the prior art were discussed, but no consensus was reached.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

MA Moran

Examiner's signature, if required